

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/735,260 | Applicant(s) WHITBY-STREVEVS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Esaw T. Abraham | Art Unit 2133 | |

All Participants:

(1) Esaw T. Abraham.

(2) John W. Crosby.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 26 March 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to John W. Crosby on 03/26/07 to request an election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 03/26/07
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)